Review

Aortic intramural hemorrhage: A distinct disease entity with mystery

Yun Yu^{1,2,§}, Aihua Fei^{1,§}, Zengbin Wu¹, Hairong Wang¹, Shuming Pan^{1,*}

¹ Department of Emergency, Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; ² Department of Surgery, The University of Tokyo Hospital, Tokyo, Japan.

Summary Aortic intramural hemorrhage (IMH) is one of the disease processes that comprise the spectrum of acute aortic syndrome (AAS) with clinical manifestations and a mortality rate similar to those of classic aortic dissection (AD). However, IMH should be considered as a distinct disease entity rather than a precursor to classic dissection because of differences in their pathology, etiology, natural history, and imaging findings. Multidetector computed tomography (CT) is recommended as the first-line diagnostic imaging modality for IMH, but transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are also helpful. There is still debate over the appropriate treatment of IMH. Medical treatment of type B IMH appears effective and safe, while surgical treatment is recommended for type A IMH. Thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) is a promising treatment for selected patients, and more clinical evidence needs to be assembled.

Keywords: Aortic intramural hemorrhage, pathology, imaging, treatment

1. Introduction

Aortic intramural hemorrhage (IMH), characterized by the absence of an intimal tear and thus of flow communication between the true and false lumen, is one of the disease processes that comprise the spectrum of acute aortic syndrome (AAS). IMH was first described by Krukenberg in 1920 as "aortic dissection (AD) without an intimal tear" (1). IMH is a hemorrhage into the medial layer and can propagate longitudinally or circumferentially, but it does not rupture into the lumen. IMH presents with symptoms similar to those of classic (typical) AD and may have similar morbidity and mortality rates (2,3), but the pathologic differences between IMH and AD are well established (Figure 1). IMH has a lower morbidity, but some aspects of IMH are still a mystery.

*Address correspondence to:

2. IMH: A precursor to classic dissection or a distinct disease entity?

It's reported that IMH progressed to AD in 12-47% patients (4). IMH is associated with a clinical profile and prognosis similar to those of classic dissection, and the same treatment strategy was recommended for both IMH and AD (5,6). IMH was assumed to be a hyperacute stage of AD and a precursor to classic dissection, but in fact the pathology, etiology, and natural history of IMH and AD differ considerably (Table 1).

The location of an IMH within the media is quite different from AD. The distinguishing feature of IMH is its exterior location within the media near the adventitia, whereas AD extends into the media in closer proximity to the intima (7). Data from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD) have revealed that IMH tends to involve the descending aorta (42% type A IMH and 58% type B), in contrast to classic AD, which principally involves the ascending aorta (73% type A AD and 27% type B) (8). A similar conclusion was reached by Song *et al.* (9). The average age of patients presenting with intramural hematoma ranged from 58 to 71 years (median age: 68 years) (2,8,10-15), which is much older than the average of patients with classic AD (median age: 61 years) (16). The prevalence

Released online in J-STAGE as advance publication April 16, 2017.

[§]These authors contributed equally to this works.

Dr. Shuming Pan, Department of Emergency, Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China.

E-mail: shumingpan@aliyun.com



FL indicates false lumen; TL, true lumen

Figure 1.	. Pathogenes	is of acute	aortic sy	vndromes.

	Table 1.	Differences	between	aortic	IMH	and AD
--	----------	-------------	---------	--------	-----	--------

Items	IMH	AD	
Pathology			
Intimal flap	absent	present	
Communication between the true and false lumen	absent	present	
Location within the media	exterior	interior	
Descending aorta involvement	more	less	
Epidemiology			
Mean age (y)	68	61	
Prevalence of Marfan syndrome	lower	higher	
Clinical manifestation			
Aortic regurgitation	less	more	
Pulse deficits	less	more	
Pericardial effusions	more	less	
Cardiac tamponade	more	less	
Natural history			
Complete resorption	high rate	very low rate	

AD, aortic dissection; IMH, intramural hemorrhage.

of Marfan syndrome is significantly lower in patients with intramural hematoma (9). Symptoms of chest pain, frequently severe and abrupt, are noted in most patients with IMH or AD. Unlike patients with classic AD, patients with type A IMH are less likely to present with aortic regurgitation, and patients with IMH are less likely to present with pulse deficits (8). Pericardial effusions or cardiac tamponade are more likely to occur in patients with type A IMH than in those with type A AD (21% vs. 9%) (3,17). There are marked differences in the natural remodeling process in AD and IMH when medically treated (18,19). Without surgical intervention, the most common and expected remodeling process in AD is a persistent double-channel aorta with the frequent development of aortic aneurysm as a result of uninterrupted flow communication between the true and false lumen through an intimal tear. In IMH, a high rate of complete resorption of the initial aortic pathology is observed in imaging follow-up, which is unimaginable in AD.

All of this evidence supports the conjecture that

IMH is a distinct disease entity rather than a precursor to classic dissection.

3. Epidemiology: Does IMH vary geographically and among ethnic groups?

AAS is rare, occurring in 2 to 3.5 per 100,000 personyears, and IMH varies widely as a form of AAS (20-22). In early studies, the incidence of IMH as a form of AAS appeared to differ geographically. South Korean and Japanese studies reported that its incidence generally ranged from 22.9% to 53% (3,18,23,24), whereas Western studies reported a lower incidence of 5.7-10% (8,10,21). This discrepancy may be due to ethnic or geographical differences. In addition, the lack of a uniform definition of IMH, as well as different methods of evaluation and treatment algorithms, may also account for this discrepancy. Moreover, most studies on IMH from Japan and South Korea are based on data from a few centers rather than an overall nationwide database, so the potential for publication bias should also be seriously considered. However, recent studies from China and South Korea have cast some doubt on this conclusion. Data from 1,812 patients at 19 large hospitals in China indicated that the incidence of IMH was 4.7% (25), while Cho *et al.* in South Korea reported an incidence of 10.7% (26). These rates seem to roughly coincide with the reported incidence in the West. Thus, there may be no geographic or ethnic variability in IMH.

4. Pathology: Due to rupture of the vasa vasorum or a micro intimal tear?

Early studies did not find a typical intimal tear or flow communication between the true and false lumen through the tear in patients with IMH, so the hemorrhage may be the result of a rupture of the vasa vasorum, a contention which is substantiated by computed tomography (CT) and transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) findings (27,28). However, there is little direct clinical or experimental evidence to substantiate this contention. Crescentic aortic wall thickening mimicking an intramural hemorrhage has been reported due to iatrogenic injury such as coronary angioplasty (29) or insertion of a balloon pump (30). Recent studies using more sensitive imaging modalities have identified intimal tears in many cases of IMH (31-34). Moreover, Park et al. (33) and Uchida et al. (31) found a small intimal communication at the time of surgery.

All of these findings indicate that IMH may originate from small intimal tears rather than a rupture of the vasa vasorum. Thus, the ACC/AHA guideline states that "When the term IMH is used strictly, no intimal defect such as a tear or an ulcer is present. But in practice, the term is used loosely to mean a thrombosed false lumen regardless of a small defect" (35). In Japan, IMH is referred to as "thrombosed-type aortic dissection" (31). As imaging sensitivity improves, the rate at which very small intimal tears are detected will continue to increase. If this occurs, the incidence of "true IMH" as has been reported may decline. In actual clinical practice, IMH should mainly be diagnosed based on imaging findings if surgical inspection or autopsy cannot be performed.

5. Imaging: Which modality to choose?

IMH is diagnosed based on imaging. Contemporary imaging modalities, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), CT, and TEE are used to diagnose IMH. Each has its advantages and limitations in clinical practice.

5.1. *CT*

Because of its widespread availability, high spatial

resolution, rapid examination time, and complete anatomic evaluation of the thoracoabdominal aorta and major branch vessels, CT scan is the most common diagnostic modality with which to diagnose IMH (22, 36), with a negative predictive value of approximately 100% (37). The hallmark non-contrast multidetector CT (MDCT) finding of IMH is eccentric crescent-shaped thickening of the aortic wall with high attenuation (60-80 HU) (indicative of blood products), which extends in a longitudinal fashion (5, 38-40). The thickened wall is typically > 7 mm and doesn't enhance following contrast medium injection. IMH is classified using the Stanford classification. IMH is Stanford type A if eccentric (crescent-shaped) to circumferential thickening and brightness of the aortic wall are observed in the ascending aorta on unenhanced CT images, and all other forms are classified as Stanford type B (8). MDCT with a systematically delayed phase and millimeter-thin slices can increase the rate at which intimal anomalies are detected (41). Some CT imaging finding may be related to the poor prognosis of IMH, such as intimal erosion measuring > 10 mm (41), ulcerlike projections (ULPs; also referred to as intimal erosions) (34,41-45), an aortic diameter (with different cutoff, $\geq 50 \text{ mm} (46)$, $\geq 48 \text{ mm} (47)$, $\geq 41 \text{ mm} (48)$), and an intramural hematoma thickness > 10 to 11 mm (4, 41, 44, 45, 49).

5.2. TEE

2D Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) is not recommended for diagnosis of IMH (22); IMH can be detected and monitored with TEE but not with aortography (27,50). TEE has a sensitivity of 96.5-100% and a specificity of 91-98.5% in the diagnosis of IMH(12, 16). TEE is superior to other imaging modalities because it allows direct observation of the aortic intima, and flow communication can be depicted with the Doppler technique. TEE is widely available, portable, convenient, fast, and it is exceptional at depicting pericardial effusion, the presence, degree, and mechanism(s) of aortic regurgitation, and LV function, which is important for unstable patients. Moreover, TEE can also be used in the operating room, facilitating early detection of IMH as well as possible complications (51). One characteristic TEE finding of IMH is the presence of an "echo-free space or echolucent area" within the thickened aortic wall (22). There is no Doppler evidence of communication between the hematoma and the true lumen, but there may be some color Doppler flow within the hematoma (22, 52). Although TEE requires esophageal intubation, images are acquired at the bedside and can immediately be interpreted. In a limited number of patients, contrast injection helps to reveal direct flow communication through the microtear into the echo-free space (9). The main disadvantage of TEE is the "blind spot" of

T .	Medical			Open Surgery			TEVAR					
Items	Patient NO.	Mortality 1 (%) [†]	Mortality 2 (%) ^{††}	Ref.	Patient NO.	Mortality $1 (\%)^{\dagger}$	Mortality 2 (%) ^{††}	Ref.	Patient NO.	Mortality $1 (\%)^{\dagger}$	Mortality 2 (%) ^{††}	Ref.
Country												
China	13-25	0-32	0-8	(13,14,69)	9	22.2	/	(69)	6-23	0	0	(13,14)
Japan	17-50	4-23.5	14	(23,46)	10-165	0.9-16.7	0.9-25.1	(7,11,23,31, 46,47,70)	/	/	/	/
South Korea	18-150	0-7.1	0-12.7	(3,18,19,26, 48,71,72)	4-16	0-33.3	0-6.7	(3,18,26,72)	/	/	/	/
IRAD	40-90	3.8-7.8	10	(8,21)	14-59	23.7-42.9	27.1	(8,21)	2-5	0	0	(8,21)
Italy	94	6.4		(73)	5	0	/	(73)	7	0	/	(73)
France	/	/	/	Ì,	/	/	/) í	15-15	0	0-25	(74,75)
Sweden	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	7	28.5	/	(76)
Germany MR	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	18	5.6	/	(75)
Austria	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	8	0	0	(32)
Canada	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	4-10	0	25	(77)
USA	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	6-44	4.5-16.7	/	(42,60)
Argentina	21	19	0	(2)	6	17	/	(2)				
Classification												
Type A/B	13-150	0-23.5	/	(8,14,21,23, 26,46,71,72)	4-34	0-6.3	6.3	(23,26,46)	6-44	0-5.6	0	(14,60, 74,75)
Type A	9-85	4.3-40	11.8-40	(3,8,18,21,23, 69,71,72)	2-165	0-42.9	0-27.1	(3,7,8,11,18, 21,23,31,47, 69,70,72)	4-8	0	0-25	(<i>32,74,</i> 77)
Type B	6-107	0-19	0-11.7	(2,13,19,21,23, 48,71-73)	1-32	0-20	0-40	(2,8,23,72, 73)	2-23	0-28.5	0	(8,13,21, 42,73-76, 78)

Table 2. Reported outcomes for treatment of intramural hemorrhage (IMH)

[†]Mortality in hospital; ^{††}Mortality during follow-up. Germany MR: Germany Multicenter Registry.

the distal ascending aorta and proximal arch because of interposition of the air-filled trachea and the main bronchus (22,53). A further disadvantage is that TEE may also cause upper gastrointestinal injuries (54).

5.3. MRI

MRI has a sensitivity of approximately 100% in the diagnosis of IMH, and MRI has the advantage of a very high signal to-noise ratio and a high contrast resolution with the ability to characterize the vascular wall far better than CT or TEE. However, MRI is less frequently used because of its relative lack of availability and longer scan time in comparison to CT (55). MRI is able to diagnose intramural bleeding in the acute phase (< 7days) because the hematoma shows an isointense signal (black) on T1-weighted images (blood appears black) and a hyperintense signal (bright white) on T2-weighted images (blood appears white) (22). In contrast, a chronic IMH (> 7 days) on T1-weighted images appears hyperintense (bright) as the hematoma evolves and degenerates, whereas a chronic IMH on T2-weighted images is less intense than an acute IMH (55,56). MRI can also be useful in follow-up. Ma *et al*. (57) reported a 6-year follow-up of a spontaneous IMH with a cardiovascular magnetic resonance examination.

5.4. Recommendation

In conclusion, CT is recommended as the first-line

diagnostic imaging modality for IMH, particularly in the emergency room. TEE can be available at bedside or in the operating room. When findings on CT or TEE are equivocal, MRI may prove helpful.

6. Treatment: Medical treatment or surgical intervention, open surgery or thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR)?

IMH is a potentially lethal condition with a mortality of 24% (36% with type A IMH and 12% with type B) (58). Studies have reported that the mortality of IMH is similar to that of classic AD (8, 18, 21). Twenty years of experience in Japan indicated that the 30-day mortality rate was 6% with emergent open surgery and 4% with supportive medial therapy; the actuarial survival rate of all patients was 96.3% at 1 year, 94.3% at 5 years, and 89.5% at 10 years (46). Medical treatment may alleviate an IMH by decreasing the hematoma thickness (59). All patients with IMH should receive initial medical therapy to control pain and blood pressure (level I, grade C) (36). TEVAR is safe and effective in treating IMH and also contributes to ideal remodeling of the affected aorta (60,61).

Overall consensus regarding a treatment strategy has yet to be reached. Medical therapy is recommended more often in Asia (62), while surgical treatment is recommended more often in Europe and the US (35,36). The clinical outcomes for treatment of IMH are shown in Table 2, and differences due to geography and Stanford classification are evident.

The management of IMH depends on the clinical status of the patient and Stanford classification. Management will be difficult if one of the following aspects is present: persistence of chest pain despite medical treatment, hemodynamic instability, signs of an aortic rupture (periaortic hemorrhage), depth of ULP > 10 mm, maximum aortic diameter > 55 mm, or a rapid increase in the aortic diameter during hospitalization (63).

6.1. *Type B*

The mortality rate for initial medical treatment of type B IMH is 0-19% in hospital and 0-11.7% during follow-up (Table 2). The mean mortality rate related to aortic events was 5.4% within 3 years (63). Patients diagnosed with type B IMH were initially treated medically with beta blockers and other antihypertensive therapies. A study has reported a mortality rate of 8% for medical treatment and that type B IMH has a better prognosis without surgical intervention (58). Open surgical treatment of type B IMH results in a mortality rate of 0-20% in hospital and a mortality rate of 0-40% during follow-up (Table 2). The mean mortality rate is 23.2% within 3 years (63). TEVAR is an effective and promising way to manage IMH because it is relatively less invasive and causes fewer complication (13). A study on TEVAR has noted a mortality rate of 0-28.5% in hospital and a mean mortality rate of 7.1% within 3 years (63). Although TEVAR causes complication similar to those noted in other aortic diseases, endoleaks might occur more frequently when using TEVAR to treat an acute IMH (64,65).

Initial medical treatment is recommended for type B IMH with no complications (35,36). If comorbidities are present with type B IMH, the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) (36) and Mussa *et al.* (16) recommend TEVAR, but the 2010 ACCF/AHA/AATS/ ACR/ASA/SCA/SCAI/SIR/STS/SVM Guidelines recommend surgery without distinguishing between open surgery and TEVAR (35).

6.2. Type A

The optimal initial treatment strategy for type A IMH may still be individualized. A study has reported a high mortality rate for type A IMH in hospital (26.6 %) and a higher rate for medical treatment (40%) than for surgical treatment (24.1%) (8). A study in Japan indicated that 47.2% (17/36) of patients with type A IMH who received medical treatment also needed surgery. Urgent surgical repair is not necessary for all patients with type A IMH to achieve favorable surgical outcomes with careful follow-up using imaging (11). The mortality rate for initial medical treatment of type A IMH ranges from 4.3% to 40% in hospital and 11.8-

40% during follow-up. Initial medical treatment and timed surgical therapy seem to be associated with a higher early mortality rate in patients with type A IMH, although the mortality rates did not differ significantly (14.4% vs. 10.1%, p = 0.36) (66). However, another study in Japan reported that medical treatment and timed surgical therapy resulted in no aortic dissectionrelated mortality and no aortic dissection-related events in patients who underwent surgical repair with a mean follow-up of 24.3 months (67). This suggests that type A IMH is more likely to progress, regardless of the diameter of the aorta, and, thus that prompt surgical repair should be performed (6,68). However, Uzuka et al. (67) recommended that emergency or urgent surgery not be considered for a hemodynamically stable patient unless the diameter of the ascending aortic was ≥ 50 mm or the thickness of the thrombosed false lumen was \geq 10 mm. The mortality rate for open surgical treatment of type A IMH was 0-42.9% in hospital and 0-27.1% during follow-up (Table 2). The clinical use of TEVAR in selected patients with type A IMH resulted in good clinical outcomes (Table 2). This procedure offers low morbidity and mortality rates, representing a feasible therapeutic option especially for elderly patients with comorbidities.

Open surgery is recommended for type A IMH with comorbidities (16,35,36). Management of type A IMH with no comorbidities has yet to be determined, although Mussa *et al.* (16) recommended medical treatment.

7. Conclusion

IMH is one of the disease processes that comprise the spectrum of AAS with clinical manifestations and a mortality rate similar to those of classic AD. However, IMH should be considered as a distinct disease entity rather than a precursor to classic dissection. Imaging and surgical findings have revealed that a micro intimal tear may be the cause of IMH. IMH appeared to vary geographically and among ethnic groups, but recent studies have called that conclusion into question. MDCT is recommended as the first-line diagnostic imaging modality for IMH, while TEE and MRI are also helpful. There is still debate over the treatment for IMH. Medical treatment seems to be effective and safe for type B IMH, while surgical treatment is recommended for type A IMH. TEVAR is a promising treatment for selected patients, and more clinical evidence needs to be assembled.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the Japan-China Sasagawa Medical Fellowship, which is a project of the Sasagawa Memorial Health Foundation. The authors wish to thank the Foundation for its support.

References

- Krukenberg E. Beiträge zur Frage des Aneurysma dissecans. Beitr Patho Anat Allg Pathol. 1920; 67:329-351.
- Falconi M, Oberti P, Krauss J, Domenech A, Cesáreo V, Bracco D, Pizarro R. Different clinical features of aortic intramural hematoma versus dissection involving the descending thoracic aorta. Echocardiography. 2005; 22:629-635.
- Song JK, Yim JH, Ahn JM, Kim DH, Kang JW, Lee TY, Song JM, Choo SJ, Kang DH, Chung CH, Lee JW, Lim TH. Outcomes of patients with acute type a aortic intramural hematoma. Circulation. 2009; 120:2046-2052.
- Choi SH, Choi SJ, Kim JH, Bae SJ, Lee JS, Song KS, Lim TH. Useful CT findings for predicting the progression of aortic intramural hematoma to overt aortic dissection. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2001; 25:295-299.
- Nienaber CA, von Kodolitsch Y, Petersen B, Loose R, Helmchen U, Haverich A, Spielmann RP. Intramural hemorrhage of the thoracic aorta. Diagnostic and therapeutic implications. Circulation. 1995; 92:1465-1472.
- von Kodolitsch Y, Csösz SK, Koschyk DH, Schalwat I, Loose R, Karck M, Dieckmann C, Fattori R, Haverich A, Berger J, Meinertz T, Nienaber CA. Intramural hematoma of the aorta: Predictors of progression to dissection and rupture. Circulation. 2003; 107:1158-1163.
- Uchida K, Imoto K, Takahashi M, Suzuki S, Isoda S, Sugiyama M, Kondo J, Takanashi Y. Pathologic characteristics and surgical indications of superacute type A intramural hematoma. Ann Thorac Surg. 2005; 79:1518-1521.
- Harris KM, Braverman AC, Eagle KA, *et al.* Acute aortic intramural hematoma: An analysis from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection. Circulation. 2012; 126:S91-S96.
- Song JK. Aortic intramural hematoma: Aspects of pathogenesis 2011. Herz. 2011; 36:488-497.
- Keren A, Kim CB, Hu BS, Eyngorina I, Billingham ME, Mitchell RS, Miller DC, Popp RL, Schnittger I. Accuracy of biplane and multiplane transesophageal echocardiography in diagnosis of typical acute aortic dissection and intramural hematoma. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1996; 28:627-636.
- Motoyoshi N, Moizumi Y, Komatsu T, Tabayashi K. Intramural hematoma and dissection involving ascending aorta: The clinical features and prognosis. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2003; 24:237-242; discussion 242.
- Kang DH, Song JK, Song MG, Lee IS, Song H, Lee JW, Park SW, Kim YH, Lim TH, Park SJ. Clinical and echocardiographic outcomes of aortic intramural hemorrhage compared with acute aortic dissection. Am J Cardiol. 1998; 81:202-206.
- Li DL, Zhang HK, Cai YY, Jin W, Chen XD, Tian L, Li M. Acute type B aortic intramural hematoma: Treatment strategy and the role of endovascular repair. J Endovasc Ther. 2010; 17:617-621.
- Zhang G, Feng Q, Zheng D, Ma L, Li R, Jiang J, Ni Y. Early aggressive medical treatment associated with selective prophylactic aortic stent-grafting for aortic intramural hematoma. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2011; 59:342-348.
- Nienaber CA, Sievers HH. Intramural hematoma in acute aortic syndrome: More than one variant of dissection? Circulation. 2002; 106:284-285.

- Mussa FF, Horton JD, Moridzadeh R, Nicholson J, Trimarchi S, Eagle KA. Acute aortic dissection and intramural hematoma: A systematic review. JAMA. 2016; 316:754-763.
- Harris KM, Pelzel JM, Braverman AC. Letter by Harris et al. regarding article, "Outcomes of patients with acute type a aortic intramural hematoma". Circulation. 2010; 121:e456; author reply e457.
- Song JK, Kim HS, Kang DH, Lim TH, Song MG, Park SW, Park SJ. Different clinical features of aortic intramural hematoma versus dissection involving the ascending aorta. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001; 37:1604-1610.
- Song JK, Kang DH, Lim TH, Song MG, Kim JJ, Park SW, Park SJ. Different remodeling of descending thoracic aorta after acute event in aortic intramural hemorrhage versus aortic dissection. Am J Cardiol. 1999; 83:937-941.
- Nienaber CA, Powell JT. Management of acute aortic syndromes. Eur Heart J. 2012; 33:26-35b.
- Evangelista A, Mukherjee D, Mehta RH, O'Gara PT, Fattori R, Cooper JV, Smith DE, Oh JK, Hutchison S, Sechtem U, Isselbacher EM, Nienaber CA, Pape LA, Eagle KA. Acute intramural hematoma of the aorta: A mystery in evolution. Circulation. 2005; 111:1063-1070.
- 22. Goldstein SA, Evangelista A, Abbara S, et al. Multimodality imaging of diseases of the thoracic aorta in adults: From the American society of echocardiography and the european association of cardiovascular imaging: Endorsed by the society of cardiovascular computed tomography and society for cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2015; 28:119-182.
- Shimizu H, Yoshino H, Udagawa H, Watanuki A, Yano K, Ide H, Sudo K, Ishikawa K. Prognosis of aortic intramural hemorrhage compared with classic aortic dissection. Am J Cardiol. 2000; 85:792-795, A10.
- Yamada T, Tada S, Harada J. Aortic dissection without intimal rupture: Diagnosis with MR imaging and CT. Radiology. 1988; 168:347-352.
- Li Y, Yang N, Duan W, Liu S, Yu S, Yi D. Acute aortic dissection in China. Am J Cardiol. 2012; 110:1056-1061.
- Cho JR, Shin S, Kim JS, *et al.* Clinical characteristics of acute aortic syndrome in Korean patients: From the Korean multi-center registry of acute aortic syndrome. Korean Circ J. 2012; 42:528-537.
- Mohr-Kahaly S, Erbel R, Kearney P, Puth M, Meyer J. Aortic intramural hemorrhage visualized by transesophageal echocardiography: Findings and prognostic implications. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1994; 23:658-664.
- Gore I. Pathogenesis of dissecting aneurysm of the aorta. AMA Arch Pathol. 1952; 53:142-153.
- 29. Vilacosta I, de Dios RM, Pinto AG. Aortic intramural hematoma during coronary angioplasty: Insights into the pathogenesis of intramedial hemorrhage. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2000; 13:403-406.
- Vilacosta I, Castillo JA, Peral V, Batlle E, Rollán MJ, Sánchez-Harguindey L. Intramural aortic haematoma following intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation. Documentation by transoesophageal echocardiography. Eur Heart J. 1995; 16:2015-2016.
- Uchida K, Imoto K, Karube N, Minami T, Cho T, Goda M, Suzuki S, Masuda M. Intramural haematoma should be referred to as thrombosed-type aortic dissection. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2013; 44:366-369; discussion 369.
- Grimm M, Loewe C, Gottardi R, Funovics M, Zimpfer D, Rodler S, Dorfmeister M, Schoder M, Lammer

J, Aharinejad S, Czerny M. Novel insights into the mechanisms and treatment of intramural hematoma affecting the entire thoracic aorta. Ann Thorac Surg. 2008; 86:453-456.

- Park KH, Lim C, Choi JH, Sung K, Kim K, Lee YT, Park PW. Prevalence of aortic intimal defect in surgically treated acute type A intramural hematoma. Ann Thorac Surg. 2008; 86:1494-1500.
- 34. Kitai T, Kaji S, Yamamuro A, Tani T, Kinoshita M, Ehara N, Kobori A, Kim K, Kita T, Furukawa Y. Detection of intimal defect by 64-row multidetector computed tomography in patients with acute aortic intramural hematoma. Circulation. 2011; 124:S174-S178.
- 35. Hiratzka LF, Bakris GL, Beckman JA, et al. 2010 ACCF/AHA/AATS/ACR/ASA/SCA/SCAI/SIR/STS/ SVM Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of patients with thoracic aortic disease. A report of the American college of cardiology foundation/American heart association task force on practice guidelines, American association for thoracic surgery, American college of radiology, American stroke association, society of cardiovascular anesthesiologists, society for cardiovascular angiography and interventions, society of interventional radiology, society of thoracic surgeons, and society for vascular medicine. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 55:e27-e129.
- 36. Erbel R, Aboyans V, Boileau C, et al. 2014 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases: Document covering acute and chronic aortic diseases of the thoracic and abdominal aorta of the adult. The task force for the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases of the European society of cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2014; 35:2873-2926.
- Hayter RG, Rhea JT, Small A, Tafazoli FS, Novelline RA. Suspected aortic dissection and other aortic disorders: Multi-detector row CT in 373 cases in the emergency setting. Radiology. 2006; 238:841-852.
- Chao CP, Walker TG, Kalva SP. Natural history and CT appearances of aortic intramural hematoma. Radiographics. 2009; 29:791-804.
- Abbara S, Kalva S, Cury RC, Isselbacher EM. Thoracic aortic disease: Spectrum of multidetector computed tomography imaging findings. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2007; 1:40-54.
- Valente T, Rossi G, Lassandro F, Rea G, Marino M, Muto M, Molino A, Scaglione M. MDCT evaluation of acute aortic syndrome (AAS). Br J Radiol. 2016; 89:20150825.
- Schlatter T, Auriol J, Marcheix B, Lebbadi M, Marachet MA, Dang-Tran KD, Tran M, Honton B, Gardette V, Rousseau H. Type B intramural hematoma of the aorta: Evolution and prognostic value of intimal erosion. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2011; 22:533-541.
- 42. Ganaha F, Miller DC, Sugimoto K, Do YS, Minamiguchi H, Saito H, Mitchell RS, Dake MD. Prognosis of aortic intramural hematoma with and without penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer: A clinical and radiological analysis. Circulation. 2002; 106:342-348.
- Sueyoshi E, Matsuoka Y, Sakamoto I, Uetani M, Hayashi K, Narimatsu M. Fate of intramural hematoma of the aorta: CT evaluation. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 1997; 21:931-938.
- 44. Wu MT, Wang YC, Huang YL, Chang RS, Li SC, Yang P, Wu TH, Chiou KR, Huang JS, Liang HL, Pan HB. Intramural blood pools accompanying aortic intramural hematoma: CT appearance and natural course. Radiology.

2011; 258:705-713.

- 45. Lee YK, Seo JB, Jang YM, Do KH, Kim SS, Lee JS, Song KS, Song JW, Han H, Kim SS, Lee JY, Lim TH. Acute and chronic complications of aortic intramural hematoma on follow-up computed tomography: Incidence and predictor analysis. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2007; 31:435-440.
- 46. Kitai T, Kaji S, Yamamuro A, Tani T, Tamita K, Kinoshita M, Ehara N, Kobori A, Nasu M, Okada Y, Furukawa Y. Clinical outcomes of medical therapy and timely operation in initially diagnosed type a aortic intramural hematoma: A 20-year experience. Circulation. 2009; 120:S292-S298.
- Sawaki S, Hirate Y, Ashida S, Takanohashi A, Yagami K, Usui M. Clinical outcomes of medical treatment of acute type A intramural hematoma. Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann. 2010; 18:354-359.
- Park GM, Ahn JM, Kim DH, Kang JW, Song JM, Kang DH, Lim TH, Song JK. Distal aortic intramural hematoma: Clinical importance of focal contrast enhancement on CT images. Radiology. 2011; 259:100-108.
- Tang XK, Xiong J, Xu YL, Guo W, Guo JJ, Liang WX. Acute Stanford B aortic intramural hematoma: Early and midterm follow-ups and morphological changes of computed tomography. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2013; 93:649-652. (in Chinese)
- Vilacosta I, San Román JA, Ferreirós J, Aragoncillo P, Méndez R, Castillo JA, Rollán MJ, Batlle E, Peral V, Sánchez-Harguindey L. Natural history and serial morphology of aortic intramural hematoma: A novel variant of aortic dissection. Am Heart J. 1997; 134:495-507.
- Kakazu C, Augustus J, Paullin C, Julka IS, White RA. Acute ascending aortic intramural hematoma as a complication of the endovascular repair of a Type B aortic dissection. J Anesth. 2012; 26:589-591.
- 52. Agricola E, Slavich M, Bertoglio L, Fisicaro A, Oppizzi M, Marone E, Melissano G, Tufaro V, Margonato A, Chiesa R. The role of contrast enhanced transesophageal echocardiography in the diagnosis and in the morphological and functional characterization of acute aortic syndromes. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2014; 30:31-38.
- Baliga RR, Nienaber CA, Bossone E, Oh JK, Isselbacher EM, Sechtem U, Fattori R, Raman SV, Eagle KA. The role of imaging in aortic dissection and related syndromes. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2014; 7:406-424.
- 54. Augoustides JG, Hosalkar HH, Milas BL, Acker M, Savino JS. Upper gastrointestinal injuries related to perioperative transesophageal echocardiography: Index case, literature review, classification proposal, and call for a registry. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2006; 20:379-384.
- Goldberg JB, Kim JB, Sundt TM. Current understandings and approach to the management of aortic intramural hematomas. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2014; 26:123-131.
- Murray JG, Manisali M, Flamm SD, VanDyke CW, Lieber ML, Lytle BW, White RD. Intramural hematoma of the thoracic aorta: MR image findings and their prognostic implications. Radiology. 1997; 204:349-355.
- Ma X, Zhang Z, Fan Z, Zhao L, Yu J. Natural history of spontaneous aortic intramural hematoma progression: Six years follow-up with cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2010; 12:27.
- 58. von Kodolitsch Y, Nienaber CA. Intramural hemorrhage of the thoracic aorta: Diagnosis, therapy and prognosis of

209 *in vivo* diagnosed cases. Z Kardiol. 1998; 87:797-807. (in German)

- Lee CW, Kang JW, Lee HJ, Lim TH. MDCT evaluation of intimal defects in intramural hematoma of the aorta: Initial findings and follow-up. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010; 26:295-302.
- Lavingia KS, Ahanchi SS, Redlinger RE, Udgiri NR, Panneton JM. Aortic remodeling after thoracic endovascular aortic repair for intramural hematoma. J Vasc Surg. 2014; 60:929-935; discussion 935-936.
- Sueyoshi E, Nagayama H, Hashizume K, Eishi K, Sakamoto I, Uetani M. Computed tomography evaluation of aortic remodeling after endovascular treatment for complicated ulcer-like projection in patients with type B aortic intramural hematoma. J Vasc Surg. 2014; 59:693-699.
- JCS Joint Working Group. Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of aortic aneurysm and aortic dissection (JCS 2011): Digest version. Circ J. 2013; 77:789-828.
- Evangelista A, Czerny M, Nienaber C, Schepens M, Rousseau H, Cao P, Moral S, Fattori R. Interdisciplinary expert consensus on management of type B intramural haematoma and penetrating aortic ulcer. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2015; 47:209-217.
- 64. Bischoff MS, Geisbüsch P Peters AS, Hyhlik-Dürr A, Böckler D. Penetrating aortic ulcer: Defining risks and therapeutic strategies. Herz. 2011; 36:498-504.
- Geisbüsch P, Kotelis D, Weber TF, Hyhlik-Dürr A, Kauczor HU, Böckler D. Early and midterm results after endovascular stent graft repair of penetrating aortic ulcers. J Vasc Surg. 2008; 48:1361-1368.
- Kan CB, Chang RY, Chang JP. Optimal initial treatment and clinical outcome of type A aortic intramural hematoma: A clinical review. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2008; 33:1002-1006.
- Uzuka T, Ito T, Hagiwara T, Yanase Y, Koyanagi T, Kurimoto Y, Kawaharada N, Higami T. A treatment strategy for early thrombosed Stanford type A acute aortic dissection. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2013; 61:84-88.
- Sawhney NS, DeMaria AN, Blanchard DG. Aortic intramural hematoma: An increasingly recognized and potentially fatal entity. Chest. 2001; 120:1340-1346.
- Ho HH, Cheung CW, Jim MH, Miu KM, Siu CW, Lam YM, Chan HW, Lee WL, Tse HF. Type A aortic intramural

hematoma: Clinical features and outcomes in Chinese patients. Clin Cardiol. 2011; 34:E1-E5.

- Matsushita A, Fukui T, Tabata M, Sato Y, Takanashi S. Preoperative characteristics and surgical outcomes of acute intramural hematoma involving the ascending aorta: A propensity score-matched analysis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2016; 151:351-358.
- 71. Song JK, Kim HS, Song JM, Kang DH, Ha JW, Rim SJ, Chung N, Kim KS, Park SW, Kim YJ, Sohn DW. Outcomes of medically treated patients with aortic intramural hematoma. Am J Med. 2002; 113:181-187.
- Choi YJ, Son JW, Lee SH, *et al.* Treatment patterns and their outcomes of acute aortic intramural hematoma in real world: Multicenter registry for aortic intramural hematoma. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2014; 14:103.
- 73. Tolenaar JL, Harris KM, Upchurch GR Jr, Evangelista A, Moll FL, di Eusanio M, Eagle K, Trimarchi S; IRAD investigators. The differences and similarities between intramural hematoma of the descending aorta and acute type B dissection. J Vasc Surg. 2013; 58:1498-1504.
- Monnin-Bares V, Thony F, Rodiere M, Bach V, Hacini R, Blin D, Ferretti G. Endovascular stent-graft management of aortic intramural hematomas. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2009; 20:713-721.
- Felisaz A, Dufranc J, Heyndrickx M, Palcau L, Gouicem D, Berger L. Midterm results of type B intramural hematoma endovascular treatment. Ann Vasc Surg. 2015; 29:898-904.
- Manning BJ, Dias N, Manno M, Ohrlander T, Malina M, Sonesson B, Resch T, Ivancev K. Endovascular treatment of acute complicated type B dissection: Morphological changes at midterm follow-up. J Endovasc Ther. 2009; 16:466-474.
- 77. Dagenais F, Dumont E, Voisine P. Intramural hematoma of the arch and ascending aorta owing to acute aortic syndrome of the descending aorta: To stent or not to stent? J Endovasc Ther. 2008; 15:544-549.
- Iyer VS, Mackenzie KS, Tse LW, Abraham CZ, Corriveau MM, Obrand DI, Steinmetz OK. Early outcomes after elective and emergent endovascular repair of the thoracic aorta. J Vasc Surg. 2006; 43:677-683.

(Received February 27, 2017; Revised March 30, 2017; Accepted April 2, 2017)